

Cc: (10)(2e) (10)(2e) @oogziekenhuis.nl; (10)(2e) (10)(2e) @rivm.nl; (10)(2e) (10)(2e) @rivm.nl
To: (10)(2e) (10)(2e) @rivm.nl
From: (10)(2e)
Sent: Sun 5/31/2020 11:25:08 AM
Subject: Re: FUSACA control questionnaire
Received: Sun 5/31/2020 11:26:19 AM

One small remark:

Q 47 and 48: it is not so nice to repeat the word 'in'. My suggestion is to replace the second 'in' with 'gedurende' (=during).

(10)(2e)

Op 29 mei 2020, om 14:19 heeft (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl> het volgende geschreven:

Hi (10)(2e) and all,

Thank you for your feedback! That is weird that you saw different fonts in the introduction, I especially payed attention on copying the text and pasting it in a separate document and copying again into Questback.

For the bijlage, I opened it into chrome as well since it my browser by default. I will try with internet explorer.

I will check the routing for the question regarding the age and make the changes now.

(10)(2e), did you get a chance to look at the questionnaire?

Best wishes,

(10)(2e)

(10)(2e) PhD-EPIET Fellow

Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu (RIVM)
 Centrum Infectieziektebestrijding

Postbus 1
 3720 BA Bilthoven

Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
 Centre for Infectious Disease Control (RIVM)

From: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@oogziekenhuis.nl>

Sent: vrijdag 29 mei 2020 13:21

To: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>; (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@xs4all.nl>

Cc: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>; (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>

Subject: RE: FUSACA control questionnaire

Hi all,

Some last remarks about the questionnaire:

- Like (10)(2e) proposed: put (Controlepersoonversie) somewhere below, not in the title.
- Some words in the introduction are smaller than others ("februari 2020" and "tot" before "1 maart 2020"). Is it possible to use 1 font style, 1 size and 1 color in the whole text?
- I would leave out "Dat is al 3 maanden geleden".
- There are two sentences about ticking 1 box ("Meestal kruist u bij de vragen één hokje aan. Wanneer u meerdere antwoorden mag aankruisen, staat dit bij de vraag vermeld." And: "Over het algemeen is het de bedoeling dat u één hokje aankruist. Wanneer u meerdere antwoorden mag aankruisen, staat dit bij de vraag vermeld." One of these two is enough.
- Q11: "(bijv. voor droge ogen, gekocht bij de drogist, opticien of supermarkt)" (punctuation)

- Q47: Hoe vaak sliep u met uw lenzen in in (there should be 2x in) februari 2020?
- Q48: Hoe vaak deed u een dutje overdag met uw lenzen in in (there should be 2x in) in februari 2020?
- Q47&48: I would make the answer options exactly the same, it looks more professional in my opinion
- Q72: there is no capital in the last 3 answer options

My other comments have been perfectly processed!

Bijlage A and B only worked for me in Google Chrome, not in Internet Explorer. But this might be due to the hospital computer I am working on. Can you check again to be sure?

And the last question: I was able to get in when I say I was not older than 16 years old. Is it because it is only the test version?

I am sorry to be very perfectionistic, but I think it should look perfect when we send it out.. ☺

Best regards,

(10)(2e)

Van: (10)(2e) [(10)(2e) @rivm.nl]
Verzonden: donderdag 28 mei 2020 9:33
Aan: (10)(2e)
CC: (10)(2e); (10)(2e); (10)(2e)
Onderwerp: RE: FUSACA control questionnaire

Hi (10)(2e),

Thank you for your answer. Yes, I made the changes yesterday for the final version of the questionnaire. I sent the link to (10)(2e) and (10)(2e), but I could have also included you. I don't know if you have time to test this version but in case of yes here is the link to access

it: (10)(2g)

(10)(2g)

Best,

(10)(2e)

(10)(2e) PhD-EPIET Fellow

Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu (RIVM)
 Centrum Infectieziektebestrijding

Postbus 1
 3720 BA Bilthoven

Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
 Centre for Infectious Disease Control (RIVM)

From: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@xs4all.nl>
Sent: woensdag 27 mei 2020 22:38
To: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>
Cc: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@oogziekenhuis.nl>; (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>; (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>
Subject: Re: FUSACA control questionnaire

OK (10)(2e) can follow your argumentation. I don't mind if you leave the question I suggested out. I think you and (10)(2e) can make a definitive version now?

Beste regards, (10)(2e)

Op 27 mei 2020, om 14:13 heeft (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl> het volgende geschreven:

Hi all,

I like both texts from (10)(2e) and (10)(2e), so we can maybe combine them more. For example, I think important for people's adhesion that we admit that we will ask them questions about 3 months ago.

Regarding the question on their ability to recall, I agree with (10)(2e) we don't know how people can judge their reliability to recall. By this question we are not measuring the reliability and this brings the question of the reliability of the answers to the reliability question. In addition, we have the option of 'Weet ik niet' in the questionnaire that could solve the issue here.

Best,

(10)(2e)

(10)(2e) (10)(2e)

Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu (RIVM)
Centrum Infectieziektebestrijding

Postbus 1
3720 BA Bilthoven

Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Centre for Infectious Disease Control (RIVM)

From: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@oogziekenhuis.nl>

Sent: woensdag 27 mei 2020 13:05

To: Mail iPad (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@xs4all.nl>; (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>

Cc: (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>; (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@rivm.nl>

Subject: Re: FUSACA control questionnaire

I'm sorry, my computer decided to send the email already and then I got distracted.

What I was going to say:

(10)(2e) proposed the following for the introduction:

(11)(1)

Maybe we can change it to the following, with use of (10)(2e) sentence:

(11)(1)

And I agree that an introduction for the questions about April is necessary as well. I do not really know whether people can reliably estimate their ability to recall. It is true that if people think they cannot recall, we should know (and not just accept unreliable

answers of these persons). So maybe it is wise to add this question. What do you think, (10)(2e) and (10)(2e)?

Greetings,
(10)(2e)

Van: (10)(2e)
Verzonden: woensdag 27 mei 2020 12:30:35
Aan: Mail iPad (10)(2e); (10)(2e)
CC: (10)(2e); (10)(2e)
Onderwerp: Re: FUSACA control questionnaire

Dear all,

If you all agree and we don't think that the summer (next summer, not last summer) would epidemiologically be better, then I agree as well.

(10)(2e) proposed the following:

Van: Mail iPad (10)(2e) <(10)(2e)@xs4all.nl>
Verzonden: dinsdag 26 mei 2020 23:13:59
Aan: (10)(2e)
CC: (10)(2e); (10)(2e); (10)(2e)
Onderwerp: Re: FUSACA control questionnaire

Dear all,

I think (10)(2e) is right that some explanation about the reason why we ask about february is appropriate. Something like: wij gaan u vragen stellen over de maand Februari, omdat het zeer waarschijnlijk is dat uw gewoontes omtrent persoonlijke hygiene en omgang met uw contactlenzen veranderd zijn door de corona epidemie.

Shall we add a question about ability to recall habits in february? Like: denkt u dat u zich goed herinnert wat uw gewoontes en details omtrent contactlens gebruik waren in februari? Ja/nee
 Als u 'nee' antwoordt kunt u overwegen om het invullen van de vragenlijst nu te stoppen, wij ontvangen dan toch graag uw antwoord. In this way, we can have an idea about 'recall ability' of potential candidates.

It is true that more infections occur in the autumn, but we would enlarge the problem of recall bias if we go back further in time. So I suggest just to keep it on february.

The questions about april should be preceded by an explanation as well. Something like: aangezien uw gewoonten betreffende persoonlijke hygiene waarschijnlijk veranderd zijn door de corona epidemie willen wij u graag nog enige vragen stellen over de maand april.

Groeten,
(10)(2e)

(10)(2e) (10)(2e)
 Dubbel

Volgende twee pagina's verwijderd i.v.m. dubbel.